Cortical sensorimotor alterations classify clinical phenotype and putative genotype of spasmodic dysphonia
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Introduction

Spasmodic dysphonia (SD), or laryngeal dystonia, is a task-specific focal dystonia affecting the laryngeal muscles predominantly during speaking but not during emotional vocalizations, such as laughing or crying. As with other forms of focal dystonia, the causes and pathophysiology of SD remain unclear, although its clinical symptoms are well defined [1,2]. Existing literature reports the presence of structural and functional abnormalities in the primary sensorimotor and secondary somatosensory cortices, basal ganglia, thalamus, and cerebellum [3–10], which appear to constitute the dystonic brain network [11] and also contribute to the control of sensorimotor aspects of speech production [12]. In addition, striatal

Background and purpose: Spasmodic dysphonia (SD), or laryngeal dystonia, is a task-specific isolated focal dystonia of unknown causes and pathophysiology. Although functional and structural abnormalities have been described in this disorder, the influence of its different clinical phenotypes and genotypes remains scant, making it difficult to explain SD pathophysiology and to identify potential biomarkers.

Methods: We used a combination of independent component analysis and linear discriminant analysis of resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging data to investigate brain organization in different SD phenotypes (abductor versus adductor type) and putative genotypes (familial versus sporadic cases) and to characterize neural markers for genotype/phenotype categorization.

Results: We found abnormal functional connectivity within sensorimotor and frontoparietal networks in patients with SD compared with healthy individuals as well as phenotype- and genotype-distinct alterations of these networks, involving primary somatosensory, premotor and parietal cortices. The linear discriminant analysis achieved 71% accuracy classifying SD and healthy individuals using connectivity measures in the left inferior parietal and sensorimotor cortices. When categorizing between different forms of SD, the combination of measures from the left inferior parietal, premotor and right sensorimotor cortices achieved 81% discriminatory power between familial and sporadic SD cases, whereas the combination of measures from the right superior parietal, primary somatosensory and premotor cortices led to 71% accuracy in the classification of adductor and abductor SD forms.

Conclusions: Our findings present the first effort to identify and categorize isolated focal dystonia based on its brain functional connectivity profile, which may have a potential impact on the future development of biomarkers for this rare disorder.
dopaminergic function is altered in SD, characterized by decreased availability of D2/D3 receptors and abnormal release of endogenous dopamine during symptomatic and asymptomatic tasks [13]. However, despite the considerable progress made in mapping brain alterations in SD, our understanding of the interplay between disorder etiology and pathophysiology remains very limited. Specifically, it is unknown whether any of these reported brain abnormalities may be considered as a neuroimaging marker(s) for SD prediction and diagnostic differentiation. This is partly due to the fact that the majority of studies have focused on mapping brain alterations in the most common sporadic adductor form of SD, thus rendering it difficult to employ classifier algorithms for disorder characterization and the assessment of a more complete pathophysiological picture of this disorder across its different phenotypes and genotypes.

In a large cohort of patients with SD, we examined distinct patterns of abnormal functional connectivity and predictive imaging markers of disorder categorization between adductor (ADSD) and abductor (ABSD) forms of SD as well as between sporadic and familial cases as representatives of potentially different genotypes. We used multivariate classification algorithm of linear discriminant analysis (LDA) using the measures of between-group differences in resting-state functional connectivity derived from an independent component analysis (ICA). Resting-state networks are based on the measure of intrinsic low-frequency physiological fluctuations in the blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal and reflect the organization of both structural and task-related functional brain networks [14–16]. Patients with different forms of focal dystonia have been previously reported to exhibit altered resting-state connectivity [17–21].

Classification algorithms represent a powerful tool for identification of single traits or a combination of features that characterize and separate two or more classes of objects or subjects. Algorithmic classifiers have been successfully applied in several neurodegenerative disorders using structural [22,23] and functional [24–26] magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) measures. Machine learning and fMRI voxel-wise multivariate classification were implemented as powerful tools for decoding neural representations of thoughts or physical objects at a particular time-point [27–29], with the LDA being validated in both normal [30–33] and disordered [34–37] states.

Based on previous studies in SD [3–10], we hypothesized that SD as a disorder can be distinguished from a normal state based on significant alterations within the sensorimotor network (SMN), whereas different SD forms can be categorized based on additional and combined abnormalities within the SMN and frontoparietal network (FPN). As SD is a task-specific disorder impairing a highly learned behavior, i.e. speech, we hypothesized that the predictive imaging markers for SD phenotype/genotype categorization would be found within cortical rather than subcortical regions.

**Materials and methods**

**Study participants**

We recruited 98 patients with SD, who were grouped based on their clinical phenotype (ADSD and ABSD) and underlying putative genotype (sporadic and familial SD). Among these, 15 patients were excluded due to excessive motion artifacts and incidental neuroradiological findings. The final groups consisted of 60 patients with sporadic SD (30 ABSD/30 ADSD) and 23 patients with familial SD (18 ADSD/5 ABSD) as well as 30 age- and gender-matched healthy volunteers (see demographic details in Table 1).

All participants were monolingual, native English speakers, and had normal scores on the Mini-Mental State Examination. With the exception of one patient with familial SD, all participants were right-handed as determined by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory. None of the participants had a past or present history of any neurological (other than SD), psychiatric or laryngeal disorder. Diagnosis of SD was confirmed based on fiber-optic nasolaryngoscopy. All patients were fully symptomatic and had abstained from botulinum toxin injections for at least 3 months prior to the study.

All participants provided written informed consent, which was approved by the Internal Review Board at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

**Magnetic resonance imaging acquisition protocol**

Whole-brain images were acquired on a Phillips 3T scanner equipped with an eight-channel head-coil. Resting-state fMRI data were obtained using a single-shot echo-planar imaging gradient echo sequence (repetition time, 2000 ms; echo time, 30 ms; flip angle, 90°; field of view, 240 mm; voxel size, 3 × 3 mm with 33 slices of 3.5 mm). A total of 150 volumes were acquired during a 5-min scan. Participants were instructed to keep their eyes closed without falling asleep or thinking of anything in particular during scanning. A sagittal T1-weighted gradient-echo sequence [Three-dimension (3D) magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MPRAGE); 172 contiguous slices; 1 mm³ voxel; repetition time, 7.5 ms; echo time, 3.5 ms; field of view, 210 mm] was acquired for brain segmentation and functional image registration. Head movements during scanning were minimized by cushioning the
participant’s head in the coil; all subjects were monitored for any movements while in the scanner.

Data analysis

Preprocessing

Resting-state fMRI data were preprocessed using FSL and AFNI software. Following the removal of the first four volumes due to possible T1 stabilization effects, intra-session acquisitions were realigned to the fifth scan using a six-parameter rigid-body transformation and high-pass filtered with a cut-off frequency of 0.01 Hz (Gaussian-weighted least squares straight line fitting). Resultant images were then coregistered to the respective anatomical acquisition and normalized to the AFNI standard Talairach–Tournoux brain using a 12-parameter affine transformation with the follow-up optimization of normalization using a non-linear algorithm in AFNI software. To control for possible motion and physiological noise effects, four-dimensional time-series in each subject were regressed using eight parameters, including white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) mean signal and six motion parameters calculated during realignment. WM and CSF covariates were extracted by automatically segmenting the MPRAGE into the gray matter, WM and CSF using the unified segmentation approach [38] in SPM8 software. WM and CSF maps were thresholded at 90% of tissue probability and applied to each time-series. All voxels in the masks were then averaged across all time-series to extract nuisance regressors. Final images were smoothed using a 5-mm Gaussian kernel full-width at half-maximum and mean-based intensity normalized as an input for group ICA analysis.

Feature selection

Multivariate classification methods consist of three main stages: (i) feature extraction; (ii) dimensionality reduction; and (iii) feature-based classification with cross-validation [39]. Feature selection was used to reduce the number of features and remove irrelevant and redundant data, thus improving classification performance. As input to the LDA, we used the features extracted from a multivariate ICA. For this, pre-processed time-series in all subjects were concatenated and decomposed into spatially independent components using a temporal concatenation approach [40] implemented in the MELODIC tool (Multivariate Exploratory Linear Optimized Decomposition into Independent Components) of FSL software. All obtained components were visually examined, and those that were spatially similar to previously identified networks [40,41] and had relevance to dystonia pathophysiology [17,19,20,42,43] were extracted for further between-group analysis using dual regression [31,44]. Voxel-based inferential statistics were computed using the individual Z-value maps of the dual regression. One-way ANOVA was used to assess the overall group differences between 30 healthy controls and 32 patients with SD, including 16 sporadic and 16 familial cases with a balanced representation of patients with ADSD and ABSD. To examine the effects of SD genotype and phenotype on resting-state connectivity profiles, we compared (i) 30 patients with sporadic SD and 23 patients with familial SD and (ii) 35 patients with ADSD (30 sporadic and 5 familial cases) and 35 patients with ABSD (30 sporadic and 5 familial cases), respectively. Statistical thresholds were set at a corrected family-wise error (FWE) of $P \leq 0.01$ to account for multiple comparisons. Significant clusters derived from between-group analyses were used in the subsequent classification analyses to identify the most informative brain region or the combination of regions that maximized the differentiation of patients with SD from healthy controls, patients with ADSD from patients with ABSD, and sporadic from familial SD cases.

Table 1 Demographic characteristics and clinical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sporadic</th>
<th>Familial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADSD</td>
<td>ABSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>55.4 ± 8.3</td>
<td>52.9 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female/male)</td>
<td>23/7</td>
<td>26/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (Caucasian/African-American/other)</td>
<td>28/1/1</td>
<td>26/3/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness (Edinburgh Inventory)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Monolingual native English</td>
<td>Monolingual native English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive status</td>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination ≥ 27 points</td>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination ≥ 27 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic status</td>
<td>Negative for DYT1, DYT6, DYT4 and DYT25</td>
<td>Negative for DYT1, DYT6, DYT4 and DYT25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years) (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>11.8 ± 9</td>
<td>15 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (years) (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>43.6 ± 11.2</td>
<td>38 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>56.4 ± 8.3</td>
<td>52.9 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female/male)</td>
<td>23/7</td>
<td>26/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (Caucasian/African-American/other)</td>
<td>28/1/1</td>
<td>26/3/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness (Edinburgh Inventory)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Monolingual native English</td>
<td>Monolingual native English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive status</td>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination ≥ 27 points</td>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination ≥ 27 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic status</td>
<td>Negative for DYT1, DYT6, DYT4 and DYT25</td>
<td>Negative for DYT1, DYT6, DYT4 and DYT25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years) (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>11.8 ± 9</td>
<td>15 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (years) (mean ± sd)</td>
<td>43.6 ± 11.2</td>
<td>38 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Linear discriminant analysis

The mean signal of each significant cluster in between-group ICA comparisons was used as a feature of the LDA. To reduce the initial number of extracted features, we used a variable ranking procedure and a feed-forward selection procedure [39]. The first step ranked the features using the absolute value of the standardized $U$-statistic of a two-sample Wilcoxon test. This allowed the ranking of variables by optimizing the covariance matrix for subsequent feature classification based on the minimum number of significant features. The ordered variables were included as predictors in the LDA, starting from the highest rank and subsequently adding more features in the order of decreasing rank. Variable selection was stopped after the best discrimination power was achieved. The latter was defined as the percentage of correctly classified samples in a leave-one-out cross-validation procedure, i.e. an iterative removal of one subject from the dataset, construction of the predictor for the remaining data, and classification of the removed subject [39].

Results

The initial ICA analysis identified both shared and distinct patterns of abnormal resting-state functional connectivity within the SMN and FPN but not default-mode network across different phenotypes and genotypes of SD at an FWE-corrected $P \leq 0.01$.

The SMN is generally composed of functionally connected regions in the prefrontal cortex, premotor cortex and primary sensorimotor cortices as well as inferior and superior parietal cortices [16,45] (Fig. 1a).

![Figure 1](image-url) Sensorimotor functional network alteration assessed using independent component analysis. (a) Sensorimotor network extracted across all patients with spasmodic dysphonia (SD) and controls. Voxel-based inferential statistics were used to compare (b-I) all patients with SD versus healthy controls, (b-II) patients with sporadic versus familial SD, and (b-III) patients with adductor (ADSD) versus abductor (ABSD) forms of SD. Statistical maps are superimposed on a series of axial slices of the standard brain in Talairach–Tournoux space. The color bars represent $Z$-scores for independent components and $t$-scores for group statistical comparisons ($P \leq 0.01$, family-wise error-corrected). AB, patients with ABSD; AD, patients with ADSD; FM, patients with familial SD; HV, healthy control volunteers; PT, patients; SP, patients with sporadic SD.
Compared with healthy controls, all patients with SD showed decreased functional connectivity in the left sensorimotor cortex, inferior parietal cortex, putamen, right parietal operculum, and bilateral supplementary motor area (SMA) (Fig. 1b-I, Table 2). A direct comparison between sporadic and familial patients showed specific alterations of functional connectivity in the left sensorimotor cortex, right somatosensory cortex, SMA and insula as a potential influence of SD genotype (Fig. 1b-II, Table 2). A direct comparison between ADSD and ABSD groups found phenotype-specific differences in SMN connectivity in the right superior parietal cortex (Fig. 1b-III, Table 2).

The FPN is typically a left-lateralized spatial component that comprises extended regions of the parietal, inferior and middle frontal cortices, strongly corresponding to functional brain activity during cognitive and language processing [16,45] (Fig. 2a). Compared with healthy controls, all patients with SD showed increased functional connectivity in the left inferior parietal cortex (Fig. 2b-I, Table 2), with patients with familial SD exhibiting further abnormalities in this region compared with sporadic SD (Fig. 2b-II, Table 2). No significant clusters of distinctly abnormal FPN connectivity were identified in the direct comparison between patients with ADSD and ABSD.

The default mode network, one of the most widely studied resting-state networks, includes medial parietal regions (precuneus and posterior cingulate cortex) and ventromedial frontal cortex and is thought to characterize basic resting neural activity [16,45]. We did not find any significant differences in default mode network either between healthy controls and patients with SD or between the different SD subgroups.

**Linear discriminant analysis**

Patients with spasmodic dysphonia versus healthy controls

Based on data from the ICA analysis, the identified six clusters of functional connectivity alterations within the SMN and FPN (Table 2) were sorted by explanatory power for classification between disordered and normal states. The obtained rank (in decreasing order) included the left inferior parietal cortex, sensorimotor cortex, SMA, putamen, parietal operculum, and right superior temporal gyrus. Using the single, top-ranked cluster within the left inferior parietal cortex as a feature in the LDA, the accuracy of classification between patients with SD and healthy controls was 50% with 17 out of 32 patients and 14 out 30 controls being misclassified (Table 3). However, classification performance considerably improved when the LDA was based on the combination of the left inferior parietal and primary sensorimotor cortices, leading to a classification accuracy of 71%, with 9 out of 32 patients with SD and 9 out of 30 controls...
remaining misclassified (Fig. 3-I). Classification power did not improve by adding a third or more features (accuracy rate $\leq 64.5\%$).

**Sporadic versus familial spasmodic dysphonia**

Sorting the most explanatory features for SD putative genotype categorization between patients with sporadic and familial SD resulted in the following ranking of the four clusters of functional connectivity alterations (Table 2): the left inferior parietal cortex, right somatosensory cortex, left premotor cortex, and right SMA (in decreasing order). Using only the highest ranked feature in the LDA, i.e., the left inferior parietal cortex, the accuracy of classification between patients with sporadic and familial SD was 68%, with 8 out of 23 familial SD and 9 out of 30 sporadic SD patients correctly classified.

**Figure 2** Frontoparietal functional network alteration assessed using independent component analysis. (a) Frontoparietal network extracted across all patients with spasmodic dysphonia (SD) and controls. Voxel-based inferential statistics were used to compare (b-I) all patients with SD versus healthy volunteers, and (b-II) patients with sporadic versus familial SD. Statistical maps are superimposed on a series of axial slices of the standard brain in Talairach–Tournoux space. The color bars represent $Z$-scores for independent components and $t$-scores for group statistical comparisons ($P \leq 0.01$, family-wise error-corrected). FM, patients with familial SD; HV, healthy control volunteers; PT, patients; SP, patients with sporadic SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain region</th>
<th>Accuracy rate Patient with SD</th>
<th>Misclassification rate Patient with SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>53% (17/32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L inferior parietal lobule and L sensorimotor cortex</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>28% (9/32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial SD versus sporadic SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>35% (8/23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L inferior parietal lobule and R sensorimotor cortex and R premotor cortex</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>13% (3/23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADSD versus ABSD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R superior parietal lobule</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>37% (13/35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R superior parietal lobule and R primary somatosensory cortex and R premotor cortex</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>34% (12/35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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being misclassified. The best overall classification power of 81% accuracy between these two patient groups was obtained with the combination of left inferior parietal, premotor and right somatosensory cortices, with 3 out of 23 familial SD and 7 out of 30 sporadic SD being misclassified (Fig. 3-II, Table 3). The overall classification power did not improve by considering other combinations of the four brain regions (accuracy rate ≤ 81%).

Adductor versus abductor forms of spasmodic dysphonia

We identified only one region of significant functional alteration between patients with ADSD and ABSD, which was located in the right superior parietal cortex and yielded 65% accuracy (13 out of 35 ADSD and 11 out of 35 ABSD misclassified) of the LDA classifier when distinguishing between the two clinical phenotypes of SD (Table 3). As this accuracy rate was suboptimal, we lowered our statistical threshold from an FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.01 \) to an FWE-corrected \( P \leq 0.05 \) in order to identify additional regions of abnormalities between these groups for a more comprehensive discriminative analysis. This strategy led to identification of further abnormalities in functional connectivity in the right primary somatosensory cortex and right premotor cortex between patients with
ADSD and ABSD. The classification power between patients with ADSD and ABSD based on the combination of the right superior parietal, primary somatosensory and premotor cortices increased to 71%, with 12 out of 35 ADSD and 8 out of 35 ABSD being misclassified (Fig. 3-III).

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that patients with SD exhibited functional connectivity alterations within the SMN and FPN, which varied in their extent between different clinical phenotypes (ADSD versus ABSD) and which might have been influenced by the involvement of different genetic factors in familial and sporadic cases. We further showed that abnormalities in the sensorimotor and parietal regions may serve as imaging markers for identification of SD as a disorder and its further classification into different subtypes (ADSD versus ABSD; sporadic versus familial SD). Specifically, combined alterations in the left inferior parietal and sensorimotor cortices permitted a reliable classification of patients with SD and healthy controls. A combination of abnormal functional connectivity measures in the right somatosensory cortex and left inferior parietal and premotor cortices showed high accuracy in distinguishing between sporadic and familial SD cases, whereas right-sided alterations in the superior parietal, primary somatosensory and premotor cortices defined distinct ADSD and ABSD phenotypes. As such, our study not only mapped functional network alterations in this disorder, but also used neuroimaging data to disambiguate SD from a normal state and differentiate the disorder based on its clinical and genetic heterogeneity, pointing to possible mechanistic aspects of SD pathophysiology.

Identification of spasmodic dysphonia as a disorder

Our overall findings showed greater impairment of the cortical network in SD, with major alterations identified in resting-state networks involved in the control of sensorimotor processing and motor planning (SMN) as well as multisensory integration and speech/language (FPN) [16,40]. Although the basal ganglia have been considered a key region in the pathophysiology of dystonia [46-48] and we did observe significantly reduced functional connectivity of the left putamen in this study, the greater involvement of cortical network abnormalities in SD pathophysiology and their combined accuracy in classifying this disorder may not be surprising. The extent of cortical network alterations may be explained by the fact that SD primarily affects speech production, which is a highly learned and skillful behavior, deeply relying on fine orchestration of multiple cortical networks [12,49,50]. Furthermore, the presence of predominantly left-sided network alterations may be reflected by the left-hemispheric dominance of speech-controlling networks in right-handed individuals, which appears to be affected in patients with SD. However, the left putamen, which we found to have decreased connectivity within the SMN, has been previously shown to exhibit reduced functional activity during symptomatic but not asymptomatic voice production [5], increased gray matter volume [6], and decreased D2/D3 receptor availability and endogenous dopamine release in patients with SD [13]. Although our present findings do not refute the notion that the putamen is involved in the pathophysiology of dystonia, it appears that functional alterations in this region may not provide sufficient discriminative information for accurate SD classification.

Contrary to some earlier studies in other forms of focal dystonia [19,20,42], we did not observe any significant alterations of the default-mode network in patients with SD. This discrepancy might be due to SD-specific brain organization, which is probably characterized by intrinsic networks controlling complex sensorimotor and executive tasks rather than the absence of specific goal-directed behaviors. In comparison with healthy individuals, all patients with SD showed significant decreases in functional connectivity of the left primary sensorimotor/premotor cortex, putamen, inferior parietal lobule, bilateral SMA, as well as right parietal operculum and primary auditory cortex. Using these significant network abnormalities for the identification of the disordered state, we found that the combination of alterations in the left sensorimotor cortex and inferior parietal lobule provide 71% accuracy in classifying SD and healthy individuals. Functional and structural MRI studies as well as the assessments of cortical excitability with transcranial magnetic stimulation have previously reported alterations in sensorimotor regions in SD [3-6,9,51,52] and other forms of dystonia [53,54], pointing to their role in dystonia pathophysiology. Modulation of abnormal laryngeal and cortical sensorimotor activity following the treatment with botulinum toxin injections has also been hypothesized to be relevant to SD pathophysiology [3,55], although the literature in this domain remains somewhat scant and inconsistent [3,4]. Being well integrated in the sensorimotor interface [56-60], reduced activation of the parietal cortex in patients with dystonia has been associated with impaired processing of sensory information and abnormal coupling between sensory input and motor output [42,61], as well as abnormal
proprioseptive input via cerebellar connections [62,63]. Our current findings support a major role of the parietal cortex in the pathophysiology of dystonia in general and SD in particular and further highlight the importance of the left inferior parietal cortex (together with the left sensorimotor cortex) as a neuroimaging marker for SD discrimination from a normal state.

Identification of spasmodic dysphonia based on phenotype and genotype

Even though causative genes of SD have not yet been identified, cumulative evidence suggests that genetic susceptibility factors or dominantly inherited genes with reduced penetrance may be involved in SD genetic pathophysiology [64–66]. Our current findings of additional alterations of both SMN and FPN in familial versus sporadic SD cases suggest a possible effect of these genetic risk factors (albeit unknown) on functional connectivity alterations in familial versus sporadic SD. However, we found that the influence of SD phenotype was limited to distinct alterations within the SMN only, suggesting that dystonia phenotype may be provoked by differences in processing and execution of specific motor commands in patients with ABSD versus patients with ADSD. Furthermore, strictly right-lateralized abnormalities between patients with ABSD and ADSD may suggest possibly abnormal functional integration of both hemispheres in this disorder. Taken together, the presence of distinct alterations in functional connectivity between different forms of SD suggests the influence of phenotypic and genotypic characteristics on brain organization and sheds light on divergent, multifactorial pathophysiological pathways underlying distinct phenotype- and genotype-specific relationships in this disorder.

In conclusion, we found that, among all regions with reduced connectivity, the parietal cortex was one of the strongest regions that reliably discriminated between different SD clinical phenotypes and putative genotypes. Its discriminatory power was aided by addition of the sensorimotor cortex, which further underscores the parietal cortex as a possible imaging marker for identification and categorization of SD. As a fairly simple setup and a short acquisition time of resting-state fMRI datasets make their translation from a research methodology to clinical neuroradiology feasible, the results of our study provide a scientific foundation for further tests of more complex classification algorithms in larger patient cohorts with the ultimate goal of translating and implementing the current findings in clinical practice as well as helping to stratify patients for future studies involving gene discovery and clinical trials.
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